D 28

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

192 8.
(Original)

File No. 415-28
Serial Nos. 1-6

Later References

Ag. 80-35-1-2
Misc 379-40-1-2
Revenue Dept 12 & 42
" 168-44-(1-12). Bgm 1947.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for January 1930

SUBJECT

Letter from Sri B Somanna Setty requesting that the grant made to Sri Basavankari temple, may be ordered to be enhanced & paid from the 1st May 1929

G.O. No 1488 to 21 dated 16.1.30

To the Secy. Govt
" Dy. Comr of Bangalore
" Comptr

Directs that the grant be continued for a further period of 10 years

General and Revenue Secretariat

Queen File No. 415

App. F. III—2]

of 192 8

Serial No.	
1	Petition dated the 13th May 1929 from Sri B. Somasunda Setty, Dharmakartha, Sri Bana Sankari Temple Bangalore Talluk, stating that the for which a grant was to the Sri Banasankari Temple continued on the 1st May 1929 and requesting that the may be enhanced and ordered to be paid from 1st May 1929, as the institution is serving the needs of a large class of persons in the State.
	1) The Secretariat file on the subject is stated to have been destroyed. Do not call for a report from the Muzrai Commr on the application is passed for appeal or otherwise.
	C.S., 10/6/29.
	11/6 2) Sri Jeevan Rao Muzrai Commr 14/6/29 for Secy)
	15/6
	13 June 16/6/29

934 15/6

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.	
2	To The Inspector (10 2781 D/31-6-29 Inspection calls for report on records.
3.	Letter no 117/29-30 dated the 31st July/1st August 1929 from the Tanjore Collector reporting that the period for which the temporary grants to the Sri Banasankari (and the Sri Ganga dharaswara Swami temples at Ganjam expired by the end of April 1929 and recommending that the grant-in-aid to these institutions may be continued for a further period of ten years, the charge being met from the funds of the Sri Kasinisvaraswamy temple at Peringalattur as hereto-fore.
4	Both the institutions in question are reported to be well managed and services regularly conducted in them.
5	Before sanctioning the recommendation is called for about the annual or other income. The Inspector's office is

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

which was called for, for
has not been received.
C.B.
13/8/29

6 Mr Dupuis says that he
his hands they be continued
on the district understands. That
there will be no renewal after
10 years' period. When that matter
will be incorporated in the draft
by the Government also. The Mr
has not say anything about this.

1945
13/8

28
1378.

7 Are the Dharmadhikaris of the
Srirangapatam temple agreeable to
the proposal?

1
14/8

28

Let enquiry be called for about
C.B.
16/8/29

16/8

28

16/8

1045 4 53

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Annexure File No. 415 | App. F. III—2] of 192 8

B. Somanna Setty
SOLE DHARMA DARSI
Sree Ranasankari Temple
BANGALORE. TALUK.

Remained part
Subbaraya Setty
Can't Please explain

6/1/30.

Read 7/1/30

No 117/29 30 dated the 2nd January 1930 from the Commissioner reporting that the Dharma of the Sri Kanniswamy temple at Lingapatnam is willing to continue to the Sri Banashankari temples at Bangalore, and recommending, inter alia, that the to the Sri Kanniswamy temple at Hermenur and the Sri Kari temple at Rs 8/- may be continued from the Charge being in the above manner before.

To Refer — orders of the JC Secy at para 7 of the Notes above.

Submitted for orders
EC 7/1/30
JC Secy)

7/1/30

8610.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 4,175 of 192

Serial No.

The Banasankari and Gavi Gangadhareswaraswami temples in the vicinity of Bangalore were receiving cash grant of Rs. 8/- and Rs. 12/- respectively out the funds of the Sri Ganjam Kasiviveswaraswami temple from the year 1919. The sanction was current for 10 years and it having expired the grants have been stopped from July 1929. A recommendation is now made for the continuance of these grants.

The Dharmadarsis of the Kasi Visveswaraswami temple who were consulted in the matter are not agreeable to the grants being renewed. For reasons explained by the Muzrai Commissioner, the attitude of the Dharmadarsis does not appear to be reasonable. Only two Dharmadarsis have passed the resolution in question. The temple has ample funds and is reported to have to its credit Rs. 4,672. Its annual income and expenditure are Rs. 2,576 and Rs. 845/- respectively. The latter including the annual contribution of Rs.240-0-0 [illegible]

For reasons explained by the Muzrai Commissioner I think it is desirable to afford assistance to these two institutions ~~in Bangalore in question~~, in order that they may better serve the needs of Bangalore. As recommended by ~~the Muzrai Commissioner~~ in his letter of 1st August 1929 - vide para 4, the grants to the two temples sanctioned in the Government Order of 24th February 1919 may be continued for a further period of 10 years, the charge being met out of the

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—20-7-29

Serial No.

contribution of Gosai Ghat temple as heretofore. The question of continuing these grants thereafter or of stopping them ~~will~~ may be left open for the present.

4016

8803 / 11.1.30

First Member.)

4 9/1/30

14 I agree.

11-1-30

15 Draft-ord 4 11/1

16 Bill Sales for allowance C&M 13/1/30

17 Please type as noted 13/1

18 Typed bill Sales C&M 13/1

Notes) 13/1

19 Issue as noted

20 Please keep a clean copy on file

4 15/1/30

6 To the Muz Comr By Comrs of Bangalore & Mysore Commissioners No 14 dt 9-1-30 Sanctions the Commutation &c.

FINANCIAL SECTION No. 8927

Note—Contin. Sheet

Vog File No. 413 of 1928.

Serial No.	
6.	Grants Rs 12/- p.m. to the Sri Ganga Dhareswara Swami temple and Rs 8/- p.m to the Sri Banashankari temple near Bangalore from the funds of the Gosai ghat temple near Seringapatam for a period of ten years.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

To

Rajakarya Prasaktha

Diwan Bahadur M.N.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Member of Council, BANGALORE.

Respected Sir,

I beg leave to submit the following few lines for your gracious consideration and favourable orders:-

1. As you are fully aware of the origin and development of the Temple of Banasankari at Sarabandepalya in the Bangalore Taluq, I submit that there is little necessity for me to encroach upon your valuable time and worry you with a detailed representation. Suffice it to say that the Temple was founded by my late father Mr.Basappa of revered memory and that I have spared no pains to make the institution attractive and useful and serve the purpose for which it was constructed The Temple is the only one of its kind in this part of the country and caters to the religious needs of a large section of His Highness' subjects. The construction of the Temple and other necessary buildings has taken away very nearly the life's earnings of my father and myself and it is no exaggeration when I say that I have been finding it difficult to find the where-with-all for the maintenance of those that are depending on me. With a view to devote my full time and energies to improve the resources and service in the Temple, I have -

closed

closed all my business and my only aim in life has been to see that the financial stability of the - Temple and the permanancy of the daily and periodical services therein are fully secured. It is in furtherance of these pious wishes that I endowed the Temple with lands yielding an annual income of Rs.500/- in addition to a Cash endowment of Rupees 5,000/- Recently I have added a row mantaps to - the Temple for the convenience of devotees and this has costed me very nearly Rs.2,200/-. I am contemplating to build a Mahadwara and a Tower over it - and to rebuild the Car shed which is now much exposed to high winds and to get several Vahanams prepared for the Temple. This together with the construction of a Yagasala and certain other necessary improvements is likely to absorb a sum of Rs.5000/- and I have been making strenuous efforts to see - that these become accomplished facts before long. I shall separately approach your Honor for some - little financial help after exhausting all my resources and I have no doubt that it will have your sympathetic consideration.

2. Further, I beg to submit that on the - recommendation of the then Muzrai Superintendent - (the late Mr.G.Aravamudiengar), the benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja were pleased to sanction a temporary cash grant of Rs.8/- per mensem in favour of the Temple from the funds of the

Sri

Sri Kasi Visvesvara Swami Temple in Gosayi Ghat, Seringapatam (Vide G.O.No.2590-93/Muz.419-18-2 - dated 24-2-1919). The period for which the - grant had been sanctioned expired at the end of April 1929 and it has now become increasingly difficult to maintain worship in the Temple in an - unimpaired condition. I am sure that if only - the Taluq authorities had taken the matter into their consideration and placed the matters before you, the inconvenience consequent upon the stoppage of the grant could have been avoided. The grant has been taken on the receipt side of the Temple Scale sanctioned in your Order R.Dis.No.86 of 1925-26 dated 15-12-1925 and it is therefore - all the more difficult to balance the expenditure. The sanctioned scale provides for a total expenditure of Rs.590/- and this needs a material revision owing to continued high prices and payment of enhanced remuneration to the establishment. As submitted above, I have exhausted all my resources and I look up to Government for an enhanced monthly grant consistent with the importance of the Institution and the necessity to maintain it in an efficient - condition. I very much wanted to supplement the Cash endowment made by me but I had to invest a sum of Rs.1,000/- in replacing the articles lost in - the Temple sometime back which could not be detected by the Police. I shall till there is the last breath in me try to improve the resources of the -

Temple

Temple and shall also contribute my mite in placing it on a sound financial basis. The following sums are absolutely necessary if a revision of the scale has to be now taken up:-

	Existing.	Proposed.
Establishment.	19-4-0.	30-0-0
Nityakatle.	12-12-0.	25-0-0.
	Rs.32-0-0 P.M.	Rs.55-0-0 P.M.
OR	Rs.384-0-0 P.A.	Rs.650-0-0 P.A.
Hetchukatle.	Rs.165-0-0	Rs.200-0-0
Misc: ...	Rs..41-0-0.	Rs..50-0-0.
	Rs.590-0-0 P.A.	Rs.900-0-0 P.A.

OR RUPEES TWENTY-FIVE A MONTH.

As the present scale is inclusive of the - monthly contribution of Rs.8/- paid from Seringapatam Temple Funds the extra amount required will be Rs.25/- a month as submitted above. I beg in all humility that a fresh consolidated grant of - Rs.33/- a month may be permanently sanctioned and the entire devotee public placed under a deep debt of gratitude for this generosity and benevolence. The Temple on account of its unique nature and - the veneration with which it is held by a large - section of His Highness' subjects and the innumerable sacrifices made by my father and myself deserve consideration at your generous hands, and I feel - rest ~~xxxx~~ contented that the interests of the Temple are quite safe under your protection.

3. It is not for me to submit as to how the enhanced grant should be met. But I beg leave to lay before you that in very recent times liberal - grants have been sanctioned in favour of Temples - from the general Muzrai Fund and the amounts saved by the closing of Anna Chatrams. The resources - at your disposal are too many and inexhaustible - and I therefore earnestly beg that the matters may receive your early consideration and the enhanced grant sanctioned from 1st May 1929 from which date the temporary grant of Rs.8/- has stopped.

For the above act of kindness and generosity I shall in duty bound feel ever grateful to you.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Somanna Setty
SOLE DHARMA DARSI
Sree Banasankari Temple
BANGALORE. TALK.

Bangalore,
13-5-1929.

B. Somanna Setty
Dharmakartha.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Treasury

File No. 415-26

Serial No. 2

No. 2781-J.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1800.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Treasury)

the *192*

To

The Treasurer Commissioner, Bangalore

Date of issue.....21-5-26.....

Signed by ..... Sir

I am directed to forward herewith in original (to be kept with records) letter dated 13th May 1926 received from the Secretary Bhandarkeshwar of the Sri Ramalingeshwara Temple, Bangalore over

st of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

asking the
of the grant-in-aid
to the temple and for
its continuance from
1st May 1929, from
which date, the original
grant is stated to have
been sheathed and
to request now the
sanction as to terms
sent with your report
on the application.

The entire records
of these offices and the
subject may also be
forwarded for reference
as this office file on
the subject has been
destroyed.

The favour of early
action is requested.

I have &c

HS 10/6/29

for Secretary to Govt

CE
10/6/29.

(116)

1 AU 1 9 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI MMISSIONER IN MYSORE, 15

No.... *Bangalore,.....3* u..l..y..... *192 9.*

No. 117 Enclosure
29-30

Muz 415-28-3

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

3 AU 1 29
MUZRAI
9181

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2781/Muz.415-28-2 dated 21st June 1929 forwarding for report petition dated 13th May 1929 from - Mr. B. Somanna Setty, Dharmakartha of the Sri Banasankari temple, - Bangalore taluk, I have the honor to state that the period for which the temporary grants to this and the temple of Sri Gangadhareswara swami at Gavipur had been sanctioned in Government Order No.2990-93/ Muz.415-18-2 dated 24th February 1919 out of the Sri Kasivisveswara swami temple at Seringapatam expired by the end of April 1929 and no payment is being made since May 1929. The Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District to whom the Dharmakartha's petition had been sent, reports that the temples are maintained well and the various services are regularly conducted and recommends that the grant for the Banasankari temple may be continued for another period of 10 -- years on the definite understanding that after that period it cannot be renewed.

As regards the Gavipur temple also, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the income is insufficient to meet the establishment and Nityakatle charges and the grant may similarly be continued for 10 years.

2. The temple of Sri Kasivisveswaraswami at Gosayi Ghat, ---- Seringapatam, is a major Muzrai Institution and a subvention out of its funds is not likely to affect it adversely, as the institution

is not only an unimportant one situated in a corner of the --- Seringapatam Island, but it is also endowed to an extent much beyond its necessity. The scale fixed in Government Order -- No.1067-69/Muz.27-13-2 dated 30th September 1918 provides for an expenditure of Rs.663-0-0 for the temple proper, including the contribution for the Muzrai Establishment Fund against an income of Rs.1330/- per annum. Since then, further investments* have been made and and additional income of Rs.179-12-0 over -- that mentioned in the scale has been secured to the institution.

* Rs.3600/- in 3½% Rs.5900/- in 6½%

4. In view of the importance of the two temples and of -- their deserving nature and of the fact that there are no --- denominational institutions in the Bangalore District, I beg to recommend that the grants to the two temples sanctioned in the Government order of the 24th February 1919 may be continued for a further period of 10 years, the charge being met from the Gosayi Ghat - temple funds as before.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

A. 1 (M. G.)

17

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Kurunegala

File No. 415-28

Serial No. 4

No. 396 Income Tax

To The Kurunegala Co-operative Society Ltd, Kurunegala.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....181

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Kurunegala)

the *192*

Date of issue.....22/8/29

Signed by.....for Government Agent

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 117/29-30 dated the 31st July / 1st August 1929 about the Continuance of the Grant to the Sri Banasekara and the Sri Gangarama Viharaya Temples, in the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0325—GPB—30,000—26 5-27

Bangalore Dalak
from the surplus
funds of the Sri
Kasivisweswara
temple at Seringapatam.
I am directed to enquire
whether the Khazana
Accounts of the Sri
Seringapatam temple
are agreeable to the
Accounts.

Minute

B/16/8

H. Secy to Govt

The records
relating to the subject
are returned herewith
for submission to H.
Viswanatha
Secy

C.C.
16/8/23
16/8/23

file no 419 of 18.

re Utilisation of grant recently released from the surplus funds of Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy temple at Gosayi Ghat, Seringapatam Taluk.

Sno	Dno	no. & date of letter from	subject.
1	1225	RC 1401 #/16.1.29	Mun Supdt. Requests that the recently released grant of Rs 20/- from the surplus funds of Sri Kasi Visweswaraswamy temple may be utilised for giving aid to the two temples of Ranga Chennenna Swamy at Ranibur and Veera Venkataramanna at Sankaramanna at Saraleban dehalya, Bangalore Dt.

2590-93 #/24.2.29 DD & D.cs of Supdt Mun Bgre. Sanctions the recommendation of the Mun Supdt for a period of ten years.

C. 13.]

REVENUE SECTION 2 JAN. 30 No. 8222

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 27th December 192 9.

29/1/30.

No. 117 Encl File 29-30

FROM

Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~The~~ *Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

GENL & REV SECTION 4 JAN 1930 No. 10457 MUZRAI.

29/1/30

A 415 Muz 145 28-5

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

mAN. 3/1/30

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.396/Muz.415-28-4 dated -- 22nd August 1929 in the matter of the continuance of grants to - the Banasankari and Gavi Gangadhareswaraswami temple near Bangalore, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.L.H.206/29 dated 18th December 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore ---- District, stating that the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Ganjam Kasivisveswara swami temple are not willing to continue the grant from July 1929 and recommedning that as opined by the Sub Division Officer the -- grants may be continued for a further period of 3 years subject to the condition that it will not be continued further and that the - temples concerned should augment their resources otherwise.

2. From the Amildar's report enclosed in the records it will be seen that the temple of Sri Kasi Visveswaraswami gets an annual -- income of Rs.2358-7-0 and the annual expenditure is only Rs.844-14-0 (including the grant-in-aid of Rs.240/- paid to the above two institutions), leaving a large recurring surplus of Rs.1413-9-0 as ---- reserve annually. In view of its financial position as shown above, even providing permanently for the grant to the two temples in question, there is ample scope for increasing the outlay on -- expenditure in the Sri Kasi Visveswara temple both in respect of establishment, nityakatle and hetchukatle services. After all ---

Seringapatam is not in a flourishing condition and when there is every possibility and scope for increasing the allotments--- under the several heads of expenditure and at the same time ----- providing a small grant to the extent of Rs.20/- P.M. for two --- important institutions in and near Bangalore City wherein services are being regularly performed and which have no other assignment of inams made by Government, I am of opinion that the grant to the two temples from the annual recurring surplus income of the Ganjam temple may be continued permanently, more particularly as the -- former are of the same class as the latter and serve a more --- useful purpose situated as they are in the most flourishing and - premier city of the State.

3. I beg therefore to solicit sanction of Government in modification of the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to continue the grant to the Gavipur temple Rs.12/- p.m. and Banasankari temple --- Rs.8/- p.m. permanently, the recurring charge being met from the - source pointed out above. I request the favour of early orders - as the grant has not been paid since June 1929.

4. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed for reference - and obliging return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ra Narasimha Murthy.

Assistant Commissioner

for Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.L.H.206/29 dated 18th December 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Continuance of grant-Sri Banashankariamma temple and Gangavisweswara swami temple.

With reference to your letter No.117 of 29-30 dated 26/27th August 1929 regarding the continuance of the monthly grant of Rs.8/- and Rs.12/ to the Sri Banashankariamma temple and the Sri Gangavisweswaraswamy temple respectively, from the funds of the Sri Kasivisweswaraswamy temple at -- Gosaighat, I have the honor to state that the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Kasivisweswaraswamy temple who were consulted by the Amildar have stated that the monthly grant of Rs.20/- from the funds of their institution -- cannot be continued to the above two institutions of the Bangalore District from July 1929, as this sum of Rs.20/-, is required for increasing the pay of the Establishment of their temple and the nityakatle and Padithara -- charges as the existing scale of expenditure was fixed long ago.

2. The Sub Division Officer's reports, that if the continuance of the grant to the above two institutions is absolutely necessary, it may perhaps

perhaps be continued for a further period of 3 years, subject to the condition that the grant will not be continued further and that the institutions concerned should augment their resources otherwise.

3. I agree with the views of the Sub Division Officer.

4. The report of the Sub Division Officer and its enclosures are submitt herewith.

"C o p y "

For Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

Government order No. 2590-96/Muz.419-18-3 dated 24th February 1919 directing that a sum of Rs. 30/- released from the funds of the Sri Kasi Visveswaraswami temple at Gosayi Ghat at Berinjapatam (Ganjam) may be given as a grant annually to the Sri Banasankari temple at Sarabandepalya and the Sri Gavi Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Gavipur in the Bangalore Taluk for a period of ten years.

2. Petition dated 13th May 1929 from Sri. K. Somanna Setty, Dharmakarta of the Sri Banasankari temple, stating that the grant of Rs. 8/- sanctioned in the above Government order had stopped from 1st May 1929, and requesting its continuance from that date at an enhanced rate of Rs. 33/- on a permanent basis.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. 117 of 1929-30 dated 27th December 1929/2nd January 1930 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, recommending for reasons stated the continuance permanently of the grant of Rs. 8/- to the Sri Banasankari and of Rs. 12/- to the Sri Gavi Gangadhareswaraswamy temple in the Bangalore Taluk, the charge being met from the same source as heretofore:

" With reference to your letter No. 396/Muz.415-28-4 dated 22nd August 1929 in the matter of the continuance of grants to the Banasankari and Gavi Gangadhareswaraswami temples near Bangalore, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. A.K.206/29 dated 18th December 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Ganjam Kasivisveswaraswami temple are not willing to continue the grant from July 1929 and recommending that as opined by the Sub-Division Officer the grants may be continued for a further period of three years subject to the condition that it will not be continued further and that the temple concerned should augment their resources otherwise.

2. From the Amildar's report enclosed in the records it will be seen that the temple of Sri Kasi Visveswaraswami gets an annual income

income of Rs. 2,358-7-0 and the annual expenditure of is only Rupees 944-14-0 (including the grant-in-aid of Rs. 240/- paid to the above two institutions), leaving a large recurring surplus of Rs. 1,413-9-0 as reserve annually. In view of its financial position as shown above even providing permanently for the grant to the two temples in question there is ample scope for increasing the outlay on expenditure in the Sri Kasi Visveswara~~swami~~ temple both in respect of establishment, nitya. katle and hetchukatle services. After all Seringapatam is not in a flourishing condition and when there is every possibility and scope for increasing the allotments under the several heads of expenditure and at the same time providing a small grant to the extent of Rs. 20/- p.m. for two important institutions in and near Bangalore City wherein services are being regularly performed and which have no other assignment of inams made by Government, I am of opinion that the grant to the two temples from the annual recurring surplus income of the Ganjam temple may be continued permanently, more particularly as the former are of the same class as the latter and serve a more useful purpose, situated as they are in the most flourishing and premier city of the State.

3. I beg therefore to solicit sanction of Government in modification of the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to continue the grant to the Gavipur temple Rs. 12/- p.m. and Bannasakari temple Rs. 8/- p.m. permanently, the recurring charge being met from the source pointed out above. I request the favour of early orders as the grant has not been paid since June 1929.

4. The file of vernacular papers is enclosed for reference and obliging return.

Order No. 1418-21 Rev. 415-28-1
Dated, Bangalore, the 16th January 1930.

Government are pleased to approve of the Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation contained in para. 4 of letter No. 117 of 1929-30 dated 4th August 1929 and direct that the grants sanctioned in the Government order of 24th February 1919 to the two temples, Bannasankari and Gavi Gangadhareswaraswami, in the vicinity of Bangalore be continued for a further period of ~~xxx~~ ten years, the charge being met ~~fr~~ out of the contribution

contribution of Gosai Ghat temple as heretofore.

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning enclosures.
The Deputy Commissioners of the Bangalore and Mysore Districts.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

C.R.G.5.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

G.O. No. 2590-95/Muz.419-18-2 dated 24-2-1919 directing that ~~the grant~~ a sum of Rs.20/- released from the funds of the Sri Kasi Visveswaraswami temple at Gasayi Ghat at Seringapatam (Ganjam) may be given as a grant annually to the Sri Banasankari temple at Sarabandepalya and the Sri Gavi Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Gavipur in the Bangalore Taluk for a period of ten years.

2. Petition dated 13th May 1929 from Mr. B. Somanna Setty, Dharmakarta of the Sri Banasankari temple, stating that the ~~temporary~~ grant of Rs. 8/- sanctioned in the above G.O. had stopped from 1st May 1929, and requesting ~~issue of orders enhancing the~~ ~~grant to Rs. 33/- p.m.~~ the continuance at an enhanced ~~and making it permanent~~. ~~and sanctioned~~ as a permanent basis — corresponding with validity upto 1933

3. Letter No. 117 of 29-30 dated 27-12-29/2nd January 1930 from the Muz. Commr. in Mysore recommending for favourable consideration ~~that though the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy temple at Seringapatam are not agreeable to continue~~ the continuance as a permanent basis of the ~~the grant to~~ grant of Rs 8-0-0 the Sri Banasankari and of Rs 12-0-0 the Sri Gavi Gangadhareswaraswamy temples in the Bangalore Taluk, ~~the grants to the latter temples may be continued permanently~~, the charge being met from the same source as heretofore, ~~in view of the fact that the temple at Seringapatam has a large annual recurring surplus of Rs. 1413-9-0~~ To be read in extenso —

Order No. 418-21 dated 13-1-1930.

Muz 415-28-6.

The

28

~~The Banasankari and Gavi Gangadhareswara~~ Swami temples in the vicinity of Bangalore were receiving cash grants of Rs. 8/- and Rs. 12/-, respectively, from 1919 out of the funds of the Sri Kasivisveswaraswami temple. ~~The Dharmadarsis of the Sri Kasivisveswara Swami temple, of whom only 2 have met, are not agreeable to the continuance of the two grants.~~ The Seringapatam temple has an annual income of Rs. 2368-7-0, ~~while the~~ with annual expenditure is only Rs. 844-14-0, including the grants-in-aid of Rs. 240/- now paid to the two institutions in the Bangalore Taluk, and the institution is reported to have to its credit Rs. 4672/-. In view of the above financial position of the Sri Kasivisveswaraswamy temple and the desirability of affording assistance to the institutions at Bangalore in order that they may ~~better serve~~ the needs of Bangalore, Govt. are pleased to direct that the grants ~~to the two temples~~ sanctioned in the G.O. of 24th Feb. 1919 be continued for a further period of ten years, the charge being met out of the contribution of Gosai Ghat temple as heretofore.

15/1/30

Secretary to Government
Financial Department.

To

The Muz. Commr. returning enclosures
The Dy. Commrs. of the Bangalore and Mysore Districts.
The Comptroller to Government.

C.R.G.